




# INCOL Spring 2022 Evaluation

Questions Responses 16 Settings







Time allowed to cover the topics was: 16 responses		🔲 Сору
	Too little Too much Just right	
How did you hear about the workshop? 16 responses		
INCOL email		
Email		
Member of INCOL		
Our INCOL rep shared via email		
My supervisor emailed us all about it		
Erin's email to INCOL members.		
Library manager		
Through my organization		
email		
Asotin County Library		
Through my place of work (via email)		
my supervisor		
work		
Email announcement		

What were the strong points of the program? 16 responses The game and the discussion Content, structure and techniques to engage audience via zoom Being in a safe, inclusive space and learning something new Having a topic expert to present! And a fun presenter. The presenter was very knowledgeable about the topics Engagement Since I missed half of it I don't feel I can comment. The Vocacbulary section Exploring beyind LGBTQ with m/f/x No Comments Kahoot + encouragement to participate key terms of LQBQ community I particularly liked how it emphasized that we shouldn't put our misunderstandings or confusions on others but educate ourselves.

The presenter was very good.

The presenter and online activities. Vanessa did a very good job "working" the virtual set-up.

### What were the weaknesses of the program?

16 responses

#### None

Would be nice to be able to tell presenter how to remove the black boxes on the shared screen

I didn't think there were any weaknesses!

Fast pace, but I don't think I'd want it any longer!

I felt it could've been expounded upon with more specific language talk (for those of us newly learning) and ways to have inclusive programming.

Light on detail

Since I missed half of it I don't feel I can comment.

Time for conversation.

No Comments

This program was pretty tight in terms of quality

none

Some of the activities were very familiar. Maybe some new techniques would be helpful. Just to keep things lively.

It's hard for me to feel safe on Zoom to openly discuss ideas.

I could have handled another hour or two of learning - a lot cover!

## COMMENTS or SUGGESTIONS about the program?

5 responses

Thank you! I really enjoyed this program. In a troubling time in our country, it was wonderful to be able to talk about the LGBTQIA community and come away having learned something.

I'd really like to take future LGBTQ+ trainings about specific ideas for programming and events.

I had to miss the second have but felt the 1st half was educational for me.

None

No Comments

Other comments you want to share with the presenter(s) or C.E. Committee?

4 responses

I think this is a very important program, and I'm so grateful it was offered.

It really was a great presentation. I learned a couple things I didn't know before which was nice. I'd like to be put on an email list for future LGBTQ+ trainings please. carnold@cdalibrary.org

No

#### No Comments

Future topics/speaker suggestions							
16 responses							
Collection services (patron-driv		—2 (12.5%)					
Customer service (difficult situa							10 (62.5%)
Leadership and supervision (te…					—7 (43.8	3%)	
Library science (changes in the			—4 (25%	b)			
Marketing and advocacy (mark	—0 (0%)						
Programming (information liter				—5 (31.3%)	)		
Reference (medical resources,	—1 (6.3	3%)					
Technical services (RDA, labeli	—0 (0%)						
Technology tools (mobile librar…		—2 (12.5%)					
Youth services (storytimes, ST		—3 (1	8.8%)				
LGBTQ+ any training	—1 (6.3	3%)					
0	)	2	4	6	ξ	3 1	0

Do you have any presenters or speakers to recommend to the committee, such as those you've heard at a conference or other workshop?

0 responses

No responses yet for this question.