BEYOND AN APPLE A DAY

Legend: ■ Strongly Agree ■ Agree ■ Neutral ■ Disagree ■ Strongly Disagree

Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
OVERALL, I WOULD RATE THE WORKSHOP HIGHLY:	8	4	0	1	2
THE PROGRAM WAS ORGANIZED WELL AND STRUCTURED APPROPRIATELY FOR THE TOPIC:	8	4	1	0	2
THE PRESENTER(S) WAS/WERE RESPONSIVE TO THE CONCERNS OF THE GROUP, AS WELL AS INDIVIDUALS:	9	4	0	1	1
APPLYING WHAT I LEARNED WILL HELP IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC:	7	5	1	0	2
APPLYING WHAT I LEARNED WILL HELP IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC:	7	6		0	2
I AM LIKELY TO APPLY WHAT I HAVE LEARNED:	7	6		0	2
I AM CONFIDENT ABOUT USING WHAT I HAVE LEARNED:	4	7	2	1	1
I LEARNED SOMETHING BY PARTICIPATING IN THIS LIBRARY ACTIVITY:	7	3	3	1	1

CARING FOR THE MIND

■ Strongly Agree ■ Agree ■ Neutral ■ Disagree ■ Strongly Disagree

| Question	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
OVERALL, I WOULD RATE THE WORKSHOP HIGHLY:	4	6	0	2	1
THE PROGRAM WAS ORGANIZED WELL AND STRUCTURED APPROPRIATELY FOR THE TOPIC:	5	5	1	1	1
THE PRESENTER(S) WAS/WERE RESPONSIVE TO THE CONCERNS OF THE GROUP, AS WELL AS INDIVIDUALS:	9	2	1	0	1
APPLYING WHAT I LEARNED WILL HELP IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC:	6	4	1	0	2
APPLYING WHAT I LEARNED WILL HELP IMPROVE LIBRARY SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC:	6	5	0		2
I AM LIKELY TO APPLY WHAT I HAVE LEARNED:	5	6	0		2
I AM CONFIDENT ABOUT USING WHAT I HAVE LEARNED:	3	6	2	1	1
I LEARNED SOMETHING BY PARTICIPATING IN THIS LIBRARY ACTIVITY:	7	3	2	1	0

Beyond an Apple a Day
Time Allotted

- Just right
- Too little
- Too much

(Just right: 11, Too little: 2, Too much: 1)

Caring for the Mind
Time Allotted

- Just right
- Too little
- Too much

(Just right: 7, Too little: 3, Too much: 3)

How did you hear about the workshop(s)?

- From colleague or manager
- INCOL email
- INCOL poster or handout

(From colleague or manager: 17, INCOL email: 12, INCOL poster or handout: 2)

Beyond an Apple a Day:

What were the strong points of the program?
- information presented was very relatable to work in a public library
- Slides and interactive activities.
- programming ideas
- The websites.
- Organization of the workshop from the presenter. Excellent modeling of interactive presentation skills. Also, her knowledge of the topic, crystal-clear explanations, tone of voice, pace of presentation, - - - excellent!
- Newer ways to check sources and search medline plus.
- I enjoyed the interactive questions and responding in chat.
- demonstrating the website
- Gave some really good tools to use or to show to a patron.
- Honed in on essential websites
- Questions were answered when asked
- The speakers were very knowledgeable and explained the material really well.

What were the weaknesses of the program?
- none
- online not as interactive
- I cannot think of anything.
- Wish it could have been recorded for my staff who couldn't attend. (I understand there are other presentations from NNLM available and that the INCOL session couldn't be recorded/made available.)
- This was clearly switched from in person to online quickly. would have enjoyed more personal contact on Zoom.
- I thought it was really well done!
- nothing to note
- Way too much time was given at the beginning to explain the organization and it's goals instead of getting to the subject matter
- The virtual aspect was frustrating. I have difficulty learning virtually and feel that I could have grasped more of the information in a formal session. Given the pandemic, I do understand that it could not be done that way but hope that when discussion for the programs begins for the next session, it will be able to be in-person.

Other comments or suggestions about the program to give to the committee or presenter(s)?
- none
- I like the program "handouts" on the website.
- Thank you so much for all your work. This thing went off so smoothly - without a hitch. Katy did a great job! Gratitude!
- Make points efficiently, did not need two hrs to get to the meat of the lesson. less time talking about the organization.

Do you have any presenters or speakers to recommend to the committee, such as those you've heard at a conference or other workshop?
- no
- Steve Albrecht would be a great presenter!

Caring For the Mind

What were the weaknesses of the program?
- Cannot think of any.
- Skimming over a lot of topics quickly.
- Presenter not as dynamic and prepared as some. Not as much practical advice as I would have liked.
- I knew a lot of this from Mental Health First Aid
- the format of the presentation
- N/A
- would have liked more examples. The ones that where given where great.
- none -was as expected
- Way too much time was given at the beginning to explain the organization and it's goals instead of getting to the subject matter
- The virtual aspect was frustrating. I have difficulty learning virtually and feel that I could have grasped more of the information in a formal session. Given the pandemic, I do understand that it could not be done that way but hope that when discussion for the programs begins for the next session, it will be able to be in-person.

What were the strong points of the program?
- The websites and "handouts" available on the website (either INCOL or NNLM). I forget which one. It was great when the presenter typed the website addresses so I could write them donw.
- The list of concrete resources I will be able to access from the reference desk.
- Interaction with participants and the prepared slides.
- resources, ways to communicate
- Allowed for questions
- the info
- Emily did a great job in encouraging participation.
- really helped address some of the underlying issues that cause the behaviors, and influence how people react.
- information that was presented.
- Questions were answered when asked
- The speakers were very knowledgeable and explained the material really well.

Other comments or suggestions about the program to give to the committee or presenter(s)?
- It would be great if, in the "handouts" or a link, the website addresses were included in a concise list for easy access.
- I definitely would have gotten more out of this if we had been able to meet in person, but thank you for your flexibility in making this happen via Zoom under the circumstances. Much appreciated!
- Thank you for the great programs!
- none
- Make points efficiently, did not need two hrs to get to the meat of the lesson. less time talking about the organization.

Do you have any presenters or speakers to recommend to the committee, such as those you've heard at a conference or other workshop?
- No

Topics about which you would like to learn more:

13 = Programming (information literacy instruction, community partnerships, getting the word out, etc.)

12 = Customer service (difficult situations, safety and security, combatting burn-out, meeting patron needs, etc.)

11 = Library science (changes in the field, career options, keeping up-to-date, intellectual freedom/censorship, etc.)

10 = Youth services (storytimes, STEM programs, play and learn, technology for literacy, etc.)

10 = Technology tools (mobile library services, ebooks, makerspaces, mashups, etc.)

8 = Leadership and supervision (team leading and building, project management, performance management, training, etc.)

8 = Marketing and advocacy (marketing through social networks, advocating for funding, etc.)

7 = Reference (medical resources, legal resources, technical/vocational resources, readers advisory, reference interviews, etc.)

6 = Collection services (patron-driven acquisition, interlibrary loan, ebook acquisition and budgeting, etc.)

1 = Inclusion and equity.