

INCOL Continuing Education Program Evaluation Statistics

Program: Intellectual Freedom

Presenter: Kate Laughlin

Date: May 21, 2010

Session: Morning

Attendance: 38

Surveys returned: 29

RATING SYSTEM

(1) Very Low	(2)	(3) Somewhat Low	(4)	(5) Somewhat High	(6)	(7) Very High	N/A
-----------------	-----	------------------------	-----	-------------------------	-----	------------------	-----

QUESTIONS	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	N/A
1. Presenter(s) was/were knowledgeable about the subject material.						4	25	
2. Presenter(s) was/were concerned that you understood the subject.						4	25	
3. The program as well organized and in good format.			1	1	2	5	20	
4. Presenter(s) was/were responsive to the concerns of the group, as well as individuals.						5	24	
5. The program stimulated you to think about change and/or application to your particular work situation.					2	5	19	2
6. Relevance of this program to your job, interests or concerns.				1	1	6	21	
7. Overall rating of workshop.						7	22	

Time allowed to cover the topic was:

Too little 23

Too much 0

Just right 3

COMMENTS

What did you like best about the program?

- held interest
- presenter was good at including all of the audience and soliciting responses from everyone
- great practical

- excellent, thought-provoking discussion
- important topic
- friendly, informal, forum-style
- presenter was great and encouraged great discussion
- discussions
- Kate is such an interesting and well-organized presenter. I wanted to come to this because she was the presenter.
- that she involves the group
- real world scenarios and discussions
- discussion on topics
- open discussion
- great discussions, Kate is a great and personable presenter
- audience interaction
- very informative
- materials provided were very helpful
- clarification of key documents and concepts around intellectual freedom
- flexibility, well-prepared presenter, varied audience
- the way she explains by examples and is receptive to questions
- all the information

Least?

- small group work
- time was too short – should have been 1 whole day
- format – small groups – very uncomfortable for some (myself and perhaps others) and invites too many personal anecdotes
- perhaps a bit too long discussion on the four scenarios, a break after 1 ½ hours for 10 minutes would have been helpful as leaving during lecture misses info
- not enough time
- amount of time for discussions
- lack of time
- too little time
- too short!
- no actual break for bathroom
- not enough time for discussions
- not quite enough time to complete discussions

Other comments you want to share with the presenter(s) or CE Committee?

- enjoyed the presenter, knows her subject
- probably should have had defined break
- thanks!
- hope you'll speak again

Topic suggestions for future workshops.

- more of this